



SCIENTIFIC ANNALS of the School of Geology,
Aristotle University of Thessaloniki

SPECIAL VOLUME 102



ABSTRACT BOOK

Editors:

Dimitris S. KOSTOPOULOS, Evangelos VLACHOS, and Evangelia TSOUKALA

THESSALONIKI, MAY 2014

Taxonomic identification of mammoth molars based on enamel microstructure

Attila VIRÁG ✉, Lilla KELLNER, and Ștefan VASILE

Enamel matrix is secreted by a closely linked sheet of cells (ameloblasts) that differentiate from the internal enamel epithelium of the tooth (Dean, 2006). Enamel maturation involves removing the protein and water content of the original matrix, and increasing the size of the crystallite nuclei deposited during matrix production. As a consequence of the latter processes, mature enamel becomes heavily mineralised, and so, normally the best preserved of hard tissues (Hillson, 2005 and Ungar, 2010).

The enamel crystallites are usually organised into approximately 4-12 μm wide bundles, which are called prisms. These prisms almost never run straight through the enamel which is achieved by a coordinated movement of ameloblasts within the internal enamel epithelium. According to Hillson (2005), this complex arrangement makes the enamel stronger and gives the worn surface particular characteristics that enable it to function in grinding or cutting.

Based on the shapes, orientations and packing patterns of the adjacent prismatic bundles, the enamel of the elephantids can be separated into four different layers (Ferretti, 2003). Adjacent to the enamel dentine junction (EDJ), there is a part in which the orientation of the prisms makes an irregular impression. This part is often called inner or 3D enamel. In the next layer, the prisms are rising concordantly towards the outer surface of the enamel. The boundary between this middle and the third layer is marked by a sudden decrease of the inclination of the prisms, which become parallel to the occlusal plane. Right before the enamel cementum junction (ECJ), there is a thin, fourth layer which lacks prismatic organization. Since this prismless part is often hardly distinguishable from the previous layer under relatively low magnification, it was treated here together with the latter (as outer layer) during the measurements discussed below. The ECJ is heavily wrinkled, and the enamel can often be seen to bulge along the boundary plane.

Although Ferretti (2003) examined the same enamel features using reflected light microscopy on sections etched with hydrochloric acid, Vasile et al. (2012) showed that transillumination of sagittal thin sections is also applicable for the analysis due to the difference in the optical properties of each layer when viewed under crossed Nicol prisms. Here, we used the latter method on enamel samples detached from *Mammuthus rumanus*, *M. meridionalis*, *M. trogontherii*, and *M. primigenius* molars found in Hungary and Romania.

Thickness measurements of the layers were taken on photomicrographs of the sections along a line perpendicular to the EDJ with the usage of ImageJ software. As the thickness may vary locally, a minimum of 10 measurements was taken at different sites on each section, and then a mean value was calculated for each specimen. The enamel cap at the apex of the tooth cones where (according to Ferretti, 2008) 3D enamel is absent were avoided during sampling.

Our results showed that the enamel microstructure of the molars from Montopoli (see Ferretti, 2003 for details) is essentially identical to the type material of *M. rumanus*




Fig. 1. Sagittal thin section of the enamel of a *M. rumanus* right upper third molar from Cernătești (Romania). The photographs were made using transillumination without (A) and with (B) crossed Nicol prisms. C, schematic representation of the section. The brown striae of Retzius are marking out the former successive positions of the matrix-forming front.

Abbreviations: ECJ, enamel cementum junction, EDJ, enamel dentine junction. Scale bar equals 2 mm.

from Tulucești and Cernătești (Romania) and a *M. rumanus* specimen from Ócsa (Hungary). The inner layer makes up 15-16%, the middle makes up 50-55% and the outer makes up 30-35% of the total enamel thickness. Based on molars from e.g. Aszód, Szomód, or Dunaalmás in Hungary, an approximately 4-5% relative thickening of the middle layer at the expense of the outer one is characteristic for mammoths from the beginning of the Early Pleistocene (former MN17 Biozone). Typical *M. meridionalis* remains (such as the type material from Upper Valdarno, see Ferretti, 2003 for details) show additional 5% relative thickening of the middle layer which was compensated by the further thinning of the outer layer. The type material from Süssenborn (Ferretti, 2003) and the specimens from several contemporaneous or younger Hungarian localities (e.g. Visonta, Ercsi, Kiskunlacháza and Kecskemét) prove that the enamel evolution continued in the case of the *M. trogontherii*. According to our data, the middle layer of the latter species makes up 2-3% more of the full enamel thickness than in the case of the latest *M. meridionalis* populations, whereas the inner layer is proportionately thinner. The middle layer of *M. primigenius* samples (from e.g. Tiszlók and Fegyvernek in Hungary) makes up even 70-80% of the full enamel thickness which means additional 5-10% thickening related to the *M. trogontherii*. This was compensated by the approximately 5% thinning of both the inner and the outer layer separately. The inner layer makes up roughly 5%, whereas the outer makes up 10-20% of the total enamel thickness in the case of *M. primigenius* samples.

During the more than 2.5 million years of evolution of the Eurasian mammoth lineage, the molar morphology underwent several important changes, such as the multiplication of the plates forming the tooth, the heightening of the crown, and the thinning of the enamel. All of these processes are usually considered as a probable adaptation to a progressively predominant grass diet (see Maglio, 1973 or Virág et al., 2014). According to Ferretti (2008), decussating prisms (e.g. in the inner layer) enhances resistance to crack propagation in the enamel of teeth subjected to high occlusal stresses, whereas occlusally rising prisms (e.g. in the middle layer) are more resistant to abrasive wear. Therefore the above

discussed evolution of the inner structure of the enamel (i.e. the proportional thickening of the middle layer, in which the prisms are angled to the occlusal surface, at the expense of the less resistant parts) can be interpreted as an adaptation which kept the rate of wear to a minimum as the whole enamel had become thinner and the diet had become more abrasive. As consequence of this process, the relative thicknesses of the enamel layers slightly differ in the case of each successive species, therefore the analysis of the inner enamel structure could contribute to intrageneric systematics by allowing the rapid, although coarse categorization of mammoths from even a small fragment of a molar.

References

- Dean, M.C., 2006. Tooth microstructure tracks the pace of human life-history evolution. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 273, 2799-2808.
- Ferretti, M.P., 2003. Structure and evolution of mammoth molar enamel. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* 48(3), 383-396.
- Ferretti, M.P., 2008. Enamel structure of *Cuvieronius hyodon* (Proboscidea, Gomphotheriidae) with a discussion on enamel evolution in elephantoids. *Journal of Mammalian Evolution* 15, 37-58.
- Hillson, S., 2005. *Teeth*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Maglio, V.J., 1973. Origin and evolution of the Elephantidae. *Transactions of the American Philosophical Society, New Series* 63(3), 1-149.
- Ungar, P.S., 2010. *Mammal Teeth. Origin, Evolution, and Diversity*. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.
- Vasile, Ș., Panaitescu, D., Știucă, E., Virág, A., 2012. Additional proboscidean fossils from Mavrodin (Teleorman County, Romania). *Oltenia, Studii și comunicări, Seria Științele Naturii* 28(2), 211-218.
- Virág, A., Kocsis, L., Gasparik, M., Vasile, Ș., 2014. Palaeodietary reconstruction of fossil proboscideans from Hungary and Romania. *Abstract Book of the VIth International Conference on Mammoths and their Relatives, Grevena & Siatista, Greece. Special Volume* 102.

✉ myodes.glareolus@gmail.com



Citation:

Virág, A., Kellner, L., Vasile, Ș., 2014. Taxonomic identification of mammoth molars based on enamel microstructure. *Abstract Book of the VIth International Conference on Mammoths and their Relatives. S.A.S.G., Special Volume* 102: 213-214